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#### Abstract

The main objective of our study is to determine the prevalence of respiratory allergies and skin at. the personnel of the medical laboratories of care of the wilaya of Tlemcen. It is a descriptive cross-sectional study from the month of November 2013 in may 2014; Bearing on the staff of the laboratories for medical analyses of care institutions private and public of the wilaya of Tlemcen, whose 69 agents on 229 laboratory personnel or a rate of $30.13 \%$ with respiratory allergy and skin. Staff nursing laboratories for medical Analyses that presents respiratory clinical manifestations and or skin triggered or aggravated by work. A general clinical examination is practiced by the occupational physician; notices specialized: ORL of Dermatology and possibly the pulmonologist questioned looking for associated allergic disease (rhinitis, asthma). The registration of a flow - volume using a spirometer curve. Formula for blood count with determination of the rate of Eosinophilia: looking for a hyper Eosinophilia that is often transient but relapses [42]. The practice of skin testing: the prick-tests were performed by a physician allerguologue. Indicate whether or not the Organization has a reaction to the suspected allergen. The skin epi tests: the test patch. These tests have a dual function, highlight an allergic mechanism, and identify the responsible allergen. Statistical treatment: the seizure of data and descriptive analysis of results are carried out on SPSS software. Version 17
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## Introduction

Skin or respiratory allergies affect a large number of professions and sectors of activity. They are due to exposure, even at low levels, to a sensitizing agent.

In the environment of care, as in many professional circles, allergic manifestations are common and their prevalence tends to increase, the diversity and the multiplication of allergenic products that are handled.

Among personnel of the laboratories; Risks relate mainly to a more intensive use of: gloves to protect themselves from accidents to blood (AES).

The high incidence of occupational latex allergy know antiseptics, disinfectants; and aldehydes in the fight against nosocomial infections; all this against a background of increasing the frequency of atopy in the general population.

The literature of these past ten years agrees to estimate the frequency of allergy to the latex in constant progression. With a prevalence of allergy to the latex in hospitals which can reach $17 \%$ of caregivers.

The first observation of occupational asthma to latex has been described in 1988 in a laboratory technician
with criminalization of starch from corn that blowing his gloves.

1980, the latex allergy grew from a few cases described in a real public health problem, a marked increase in reported cases in France with the creation of the 95 table under the general scheme, acknowledging the latex allergy (1997)

Latex is not the only allergen responsible for allergic disease care.

Handling disinfectants and antiseptics, formaldehyde in the workplace and care in the medical laboratories is responsible for the appearance of new allergic diseases which may lead to asthma. (4)

Complaints of allergies "respiratory, dermal, conjunctival where General" from the staff of the medical care in the region of Tlemcen laboratories, continues to increase each year and the responsible allergen molecules are very many have significantly increased and changed since several years.

Our present study looked specifically for respiratory and skin allergies in the staff of public and private medical analysis laboratories, which remain exposed to several types of allergens during their professional activities.

Study which is currently a greater medical and social importance

The main objective of our study is to determine the prevalence of respiratory allergies and skin at the personnel of the medical laboratories of care of the wilaya of Tlemcen.

## Study Population

It will git of a descriptive cross-sectional study from the month of November 2013 in May 2014, covering a sample of 69 employees with a respiratory allergy and where skin on 229 agents operating at the level of the medical laboratories of private and public of the wilaya of Tlemcen care facilities contracted with the hospital and seen in the context of the medical periodical in the service of Medicine of work.

## The criteria for inclusion

Staff nursing laboratories for medical Analyses that presents respiratory clinical manifestations and or skin triggered or aggravated by work

## Exclusion criteria

- A seniority of less than 6 months
- Treatments antihistamine or corticosteroid long-term under treatment beta blockers


## Materials and Methods

1-investigation initial on workplaces and exposure assessment

Is based on the perfect knowledge of risks to which employees are exposed, including the allergy risks
$\square$ Locate sources of exposure.
$\square$ Identification of positions exposed to known allergenic products.
$\square$ Identify the most vulnerable workers.
$\square$ Study of the positions of the trial of labour and work.

## 2 -Survey itself

The anamnesis, first step of this investigation is made by three questionnaires

- This questionnaire, based on the questionnaire prepared by the University of health McGill [19] is interested in allergic history adapted to the circumstances and conditions of life in our country.
- A bronchial symptoms questionnaire, prepared by the Committee of respiratory diseases, the international union against tuberculosis and lung disease (UNION) 1986) [20]: allows you to collect information on the existence of respiratory symptoms 12 months 'whistle and breathing difficulty, shortness of breath, cough and sputum, asthma, smoking... '. ».
- A questionnaire diagnostic of allergic rhinitis (SFAR: Score For Allergic Rhinitis) [21]: collects the various symptoms of allergic rhinitis in the past 12 months and apart from any period of influenza.

It will offer employees a balance sheet clinical and para clinical, which will be done in the morning before any activity which will include:

A general clinical examination is practiced by the occupational physician; notices specialized: ORL of Dermatology and possibly the pulmonologist questioned looking for associated allergic disease (rhinitis, asthma)

The registration of a flow - volume using a spirometer curve. The validation of the review being carried out by the Visual control of registered trace .a minimum of three curves of forced expiration must be done for each patient and the best of the curves used for the calculation of the following parameters: vital capacity (CV), maximum expiratory volume during the first second of expiration (VEMS), report VEMS1 / CV, maximum Middle expiratory dedit (DMM), peak flow (DP) , expiratory flow rates at 75, 50 and $25 \%$ of the capacity vital (DEB 75.50, 25).

Formula for blood count with determination of the rate of Eosinophilia: looking for a hyper Eosinophilia that is often transient but relapses. [5]

Skin tests: the prick-tests were performed by a physician allerguologue

Indicate whether or not the Organization has a reaction to the suspected allergen. The principle of these tests is to put the skin in contact with the allergen. After 15 to 20 minutes, assessing the reaction of the skin (redness, formation of bubbles).

Latex will be tested by allergenic extract and latex gloves.

The skin epi tests: the test patch
These tests have a dual function, highlight an allergic mechanism, and identify the responsible allergen.

For the performance of tests, should eliminate any medication that could interfere with the interpretation, playback occurs at $48 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \mathrm{~h}$ and one week.

## Test material

Test skin epi TROLAB Stallergènes on a bed isolation FINN CHAMBERS ON SCANPOR

Statistical treatment: the seizure of data and descriptive analysis of results are carried out on SPSS software. Version 17

## Results

## A. General population

On 229 officers engaged in medical analysis laboratories, 69 employees, or $30.13 \%$ complain a respiratory allergy and/or skin.
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Table 1: General characteristics of the population

| Characteristics |  | Percentage \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General characteristics | Age | middle aged: 33,8 ans :+/-10 year old |
|  | Sex | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F: 84\% } \\ & \text { H: 16\% } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Seniority | average length: $8,9+/-9$ ans year old |
| Establishment | Hospital laboratories Tlemcen hemobiology bacteriology biochemistry Pathology <br> Blood Transfusion Centre histology <br> Occupational Medicine Blood-clinical hemodialysis <br> Laboratory EHS <br> Privates Laboratoires | $85 \%$ $18.57 \%$ $18.57 \%$ $10 \%$ $18.57 \%$ $10 \%$ $1.42 \%$ $1.42 \%$ $2.85 \%$ $1.42 \%$ $5 \%$ $10 \%$ |
| Behaviour | tobacco use smoking ex smoker Non-smoking alcohol allergy treatment | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0,28 \% \\ 1,42 \% \\ 95,71 \% \\ \\ 00 \% \\ 49,3 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Antecedent family | Familial atopy | 56.5\% |
| Antecedents personal | Skin allergy Respiratory respiratory | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42 \% \\ 82,6 \% \end{gathered}$ |

Table 2: Type of allergic disorders by institution and department

| Establishment / Services | Respiratory allergy <br> and Ear Nose \& Throat | Skin allergy | Respiratory and <br> skin allergy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hospital laboratories Tlemcen | 47 | 24 | 10 |
| hemobiology | 11 | 11 | 2 |
| bacteriology | 11 | 4 | 2 |
| biochemistry | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Pathology | 11 | 2 | 2 |
| Blood Transfusion Centre | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| histology | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Occupational Medicine | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Blood-clinical | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| hemodialysis | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Laboratory EHS | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| private laboratories | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Grand total | 57 | 29 | 17 |



Figure No. 1: Family where personal atopy

More than half of our population reported a history of atopy family and $42 \%$ have a history of previous allergy.


Figure No. 2 Distribution of allergy as the workstation

## The position

In our population, the majority of allergic individuals are represented by the laboratory (46.4\%), followed by biologists (33.3\%) then the Secretaries ( $8 ; 7 \%$ ) and then medical residents ( $7.2 \%$ ) teachers assistants (2.9\%) and the housekeeper (1.4\%).)


Figure No 3: Respiratory and Skin Allergy profession

The laboratory technicians and biologists virtually ensuring the same activity at the level of analytical laboratories medical have the highest rates of respiratory allergy and / skin ( $46.4 \%$ and $33.3 \%$ respectively)


Figure No 4: Distribution of cases according to the allergen

The entire study population wears gloves in latex (100\%) and manipulates disinfectants consisting mainly by quaternary ammonium (ANIOS) (100\%).

Aldehydes are handled by $20.28 \%$ of allergic individuals (service of pathological anatomy, the Hemobiology and the laboratory of histology personnel) and cytostatics are handled by $2.89 \%$ of the allergic (Hematology-clinical staff).

## B. Clinical Features

## 1. Personal history of allergy

$70 \%$ of patients included in our study already have previous allergy. Respiratory and/or dermal (82.6\% and 42\%, respectively).


Figure No 5: Distribution of cases by type of allergy
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Rhinitis represents most allergic manifestation at the level of the medical analysis laboratories (79.7\%) followed by allergic conjunctivitis (43.5\%), then the eczema and asthma (42\%) and (8.7\%), respectively

Among the 69 allergic subjects included in this study; 57 people have an upper airway allergy or 82.6 per cent
$50.7 \%$ of cases complain secondary Erythema at the port of latex gloves or the use of disinfectants. $17.4 \%$ of our population has a skin rash after wearing latex gloves.

The hand represents the most relevant location by allergic contact dermatitis (69.6\%), followed by the forearms and face ( $13 \%$ ) and ( $10.1 \%$ ) respectively (form of airborne eczema).

## C. Additional Examinations

Table No 3: Spirometry results

|  | Grand total (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Normal Spirometry | $\mathbf{3 8}(80,85 \%)$ |
| Obstructive syndrome | $00(00 \%)$ |
| Restrictive syndrome | $04(8,51 \%)$ |
| Joint syndrome | $01(02,12 \%)$ |
| Syndrome of the small airways | $04(8,51 \%)$ |
| Grand Total | 47 |

Practiced in any person with respiratory allergic symptoms. Peak expiratory flow "DEP" has been calculated before and after occupational exposure to allergens: a decrease from DEP by $20 \%$ or more (before and after occupational exposure to allergenic products) in two patients.

## The prick tests

33 people on 69 (is $47,82 \%$ ) presentant a respiratory allergy where respiratory and dermal allergy benefited of a prick test)


Figure No 7: prick test results performed
The rest of the subjects did not these pricks are as follows -04 patients are already under desensitization.
-05 patients are under continuous Antihistamine treatment.
-04 patients reported their pregnancy during the investigation.
-A patient has resigned from his work position (moving abroad).
-A patient came out to retreat.
-09 patients remaining refused the test.
19 cases have presented a respiratory allergy linked to exposure to latex product, but most allergic individuals had an awareness at one where several allergens 'pollen and latex', 'cat and latex '.

## Testing patches

Table No 5: Results of test patches

| Allergens | The Number(\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Latex | 13(18,84\%) |
| Formaldéhyde | $3(4,34 \%)$ |
| Fragrance mix | $3(4,34 \%)$ |
| Paraphynylène diamine free base | $2(2,89 \%)$ |
| Cobalt chloride | $2(2,89 \%)$ |
| Thiuram mix | $2(2,89 \%)$ |
| Colophane | $1(1,44 \%)$ |
| Wood alcools | $1(1,44 \%)$ |
| Néomycine sulfate | $1(1,44 \%)$ |
| Nickel sulfate | $1(1,44 \%)$ |
| budesonide | $1(1,44 \%)$ |

31 persons (i.e. $44,92 \%$ ) have benefited from a patch test with an allergic skin reaction after advice from a dermatologist doctor whose 13 (18.84\%), have patches positive tests to the latex powder, $3(4,34 \%)$ to formaldehyde. The rest of the employees has not benefited from these tests for the same reasons cited for the prick test.

## Formula of blood count

NSF to practical summer; in 52 patients, either $75.36 \%$ and which has proved to be normal in 47 patients, and a noted hyper-eosinophilie in summer 05 patients.

## E. Means of Protection



Figure No 8: Distribution of cases by the use of individual protective equipment

No service of public care facilities has a suction hood or adequate ventilation, the pathological anatomy service remains equipped with a hood but in a defective condition. On the other hand all private laboratories are equipped with a functional hood.

## Discussion

Our study has brought on a population of 69 allergic subjects part of personnel of laboratories for medical analyses public and private of the city of Tlemcen with respiratory allergic symptoms and / or skin triggered or aggravated by work.

The average age of our population is $33,8 \pm 10$ years with a majority age range between 20 to 30 years.

A clear female predominance is noted among our population with a rate of $84 \%$, which can be explained by the female dominance in our laboratories for medical analyses, also of activities especially household professional extra-which are exercised by the female use of detergents and disinfectants and which may be the cause of an allergic reaction.

The average tenure at the workplace of our population is $8.9 \pm 9$ years with a majority slice between 1 and 5 years ( $63.7 \%$ ), which joined the study made by

Mr. Batllo and collaborators on 11 public and private care facilities Montpellier who found an average age of 39.6 years (17-63) for an average tenure in the occupation of 11.7 years. There were 307 women, representing $84 \%$ of the total [15] which is consistent with our results (NET female 84\%)

On the other hand, another study carried out in 2003 at the Morocco by A. Alaoui-Yazidi and coll has brought on 2831personnel of health comprised $53.8 \%$ of women and $46.2 \%$ of men. The average age was 40.5 years with extremes of 18 and 60 years, average tenure at work was 16.1 years with extremes of 1 month and 45 years. [1].

Regarding lifestyle, the factor tobacco and alcohol have not been found, and only1, $4 \%$ are for quitters, these results can be explained by our population which is predominantly female the laboratory of pathological anatomy, bacteriology and CHU Tlemcen hemobiology represent services that combine the highest number of allergic subjects with $18.57 \%$ for each lab, this can be in relation to the port of powdered latex gloves, and the use of quaternary ammonium-based disinfectants as well as handling and the inhalation of formaldehyde (service of pathological anatomy and Hemobiology) without adequate protection.

Several occupational categories are listed, laboratory technicians and biologists performing the same tasks at the level of the medical laboratories have the highest rates of allergic manifestations (46.4\% and 33.3\% respectively) followed by Secretaries ( $8.7 \%$ ) which can be in relation to the daily inhalation of products a laboratory whose inhalation of allergens may be responsible for respiratory allergy).

More than half of our population has a family history of atopy ( $56.5 \%$ ) and a personal history of allergy skin in $42 \%$ and respiratory in 82.6\%

12 persons on 19 allergic to latex (or 63.15\%) have a family history of atopy, and subjects with confirmed their allergy to latex by skin test. For Levy $67 \%$ of patients sensitized to latex are atopic [12].

Outside atopy, the literature suggests that the concentration of allergens from the latex in the working atmosphere is involved in awareness [22].

The concept of cross-ownership between latex and various food allergy is now classic. M'Raihi proved this allergy cross between latex and banana [13]. Cossart described 2 cases of allergy to counsel, banana and latex [11].

In our work, a single case of food allergy has been found (the fish - tuna - allergy) (he is a person whose the prick was positive to latex) but no link can be made between latex allergy and food allergy to tuna (crossallergy) due to the low frequency of the latter.

Concerning the clinical manifestations, $79.7 \%$ allergic subjects included in our study represent isolation or in association allergic rhinitis followed by conjunctivitis in $43.5 \%$ of cases, and eczema in $42 \%$ of cases, asthma has been present in $8.7 \%$ of allergic patients.

The first observation of occupational asthma to latex has been described in 1988 in a laboratory technician with criminalization of starch from corn that blowing his gloves (79).

The Moroccan study 2831 health personnel were $22.8 \%$ of rhinitis, $17.4 \%$ of conjunctivitis and $10.4 \%$ asthma (63). the prevalence of rhinitis, conjunctivitis and asthma was significantly higher among biologists with respective rates of 39.6 and $43.8,27.1 \%$. According to assignment services, the rate of prevalence of the three diseases was higher in anaesthesia and resuscitation services and laboratories. [11].

Rhinitis and asthma are often associated because all asthmatics have chronic rhinitis, the severity of asthma and rhinitis are parallel and finally the seriousness of rhinitis has an influence on the severity of asthma [13]. Thus the studies have shown that the prevalence of asthma varies from 2 to $15 \%$, which is consistent with our results 8.7\% [11.14]

The overall rate of $10.4 \%$ of asthma in the Moroccan study was fluctuating between different services but the rate is very important in laboratories (32.8\%) which was predictable given the allergic risk particularly important in these places.

More than $63 \%$ of the study population suffers alone or in combination of the signs of allergic rhinitis (nasal obstruction, rhinorrhea and sneezing), and then come the low respiratory allergic manifestation (sensation of breathing difficulty, coughing, feeling of whistling and dyspnea)

In our study rhinitis was found in $79.7 \%$ of allergic patients, also Moroccan studies have found rates of
allergic rhinitis ranging from 24 to $47 \%$ and the study by A. Alaoui-Yazidi and al, has observed a rate of 22.8\% [1].

This reflects the reality of the allergic risk in hospital and medical analysis laboratories especially.

On the other hand, skin diseases are common in hospital. It is most often dermatitis irritation, but contact with eczema or hives allergy can occur when sensitizations hospital disinfectants, or LaTeX. The prevalence of the dermatitis in caregivers ranged from 12 to $41 \%$ [16] the port of powdered latex gloves, the frequent washing of hands and the daily use of disinfectants (sometimes without any means of protection) containing quaternary ammonium are responsible for the appearance of pruritus of the hands in $72.5 \%$ of allergy sufferers included in our study, a dryness in $24.6 \%$ of cases and a skin rash in $17.4 \%$ of cases (the diagnosis of contact urticaria was made by the dermatologist).

Complementary exams, among our population consisted of a spirometry carried out at anyone presenting a respiratory allergic manifestation and occupationally exposed to allergenic products, only 47 person could benefit from this, it was normal in 38 patients. 4 patients presented a restrictive Syndrome, and 4 others have presented a small airway Syndrome, one patient presented a joint Syndrome

A measurement of "peak expiratory flow" DEP before and after occupational exposure has been practiced in 30 agents, and a decrease in DEP of more than $20 \%$ between the two measures is found in only 2 patients.

The prick tests (PT) were carried out in 33 cases with allergenic extracts Stallergènes ${ }^{\circledR}$ whose positivity was particularly marked for pollen, cockroach, cat, olivier and latex ( 19 people have a prick test + LaTeX or 27.53 per cent).

In a study of the carers hospital Ibn-Sina (CHIS of Rabat) 119 participants reported of allergic manifestations, i.e. 44.4\%. After an allergic assessment, only 45 participants were actually allergic events in connection with the handling of materials containing natural rubber latex proteins is
$16.7 \%$ of all people who participated in this study.
In 1998 a French study on the staff of the CHU de Montpellier objectified a prevalence of $7 \%$ of workers sensitized to latex in care staff with a total of 537 topics. The results can mean that either the population was poorly targeted and that the proportion of sensitized staff is unfortunately stronger described, either the prevalence of sensitization to latex among healthcare staff tends to decrease [8]

Also allergy to cockroaches is found in 18 sufferers having benefited of prick test, it is strongly linked to the adverse socio-economic conditions. The cockroach can be found in care facilities

A single study to determine the prevalence of sensitization to allergens of roaches among 623 people aged 20-60 years of a Marseille medical centre [8]

Test patches were indicated for patients with a skin allergy, 26 subjects have benefited from this test whose 13 (18.84\%) have presented a positive reaction to the latex powder, and 3 (4.34\%) presented an allergy to formaldehyde.
20.28\% of officers are in contact with aldehydes (for handling or inhalation) it's pathological anatomy and histology laboratory staff and the Hemobiology service using formaldehyde mainly for the establishment of anatomical parts. The study héraultaise made by Mr . Batllo and coll, shows that among the 175 agents using disinfection or sterilization products cold, 90 , or $52 \%$, were embarrassed at their contact, mainly at the level of the respiratory tract and nasal [15]

These products are extremely widespread in the hospital or their replacement by other less allergenic disinfectants seems little conceivable given their high biocide efficiency but maintenance of service personnel remains little or poorly formed on the use of such products.

The adjustment of the family atopy factor with factor latex allergy and exercise service, was represented by a rate of $15.9 \%$ of allergic subjects of the hemobiology service, but the difference is not statistically significant which can be explained by the reduction of strength of our population;

In other epidemiological studies the allergy to the latex /atopie family relationship is established, they demonstrate that atopy is 2 to 5 times more frequent among workers sensitized to latex than among their nonsensitized counterparts, [16]

In addition to repeated exposure to latex, the presence of atopic land seems to be a main risk factor in the onset of allergy to latex [12.16].

Concerning the means of prevention, found that EPI are likely in a different way with a subject to other, $71 \%$ wear aprons and gloves (rubber) and $29 \%$ of wear aprons and gloves (rubber) and even masks (it is surgical masks); As the means of protection collective, there is a marked failure specifically in laboratories of CHUT or no service has a suction hood or proper ventilation except the service of pathological anatomy which is equipped with a hood but in a defective condition. also private laboratories are all equipped with a functional hood.

Given the frequency of allergies found in laboratories for medical analyses, confirmed by skin testing a declaration in respect of disease to professional character "illness unrecognized by the Algerian occupational diseases table" has concerned all patients with an allergy to latex is found positive skin tests, and a declaration in respect of occupational disease according to TMP No. 43 interested 3 patients with a clinical manifestation of allergy confirmed by a positive reaction to the formaldehyde.

A review of fitness and workplace planning was issued to anyone with an allergy to latex with contraindication to contact with latex products.

## Conclusion

Professional pathology of allergic-type reactions in hospitals is a hot topic and a global health problem. The incidence and prevalence of these disorders are probably undervalued and certainly very underreported. The causes of these ailments are varied

Our study looked at the medical laboratories staff handling allergenic substances (latex, formaldehyde) and established the risk of allergy respiratory and skin resulting from contact with these products.
The questionnaire is still insufficient for an accurate assessment of the prevalence of asthma and other allergic diseases because the door diagnosis is before any clinical.

But despite the "difficulties" of a such cross-sectional study, our survey gives an idea of the magnitude of the problem of allergic diseases in medical analysis laboratories.

It would have been more interesting to control data by immunological assays more particularly subjects with an allergy immediate type, but, in practice, it was difficult to achieve.
(Prevalence of different conditions) results that we have obtained seem predictable and prevalence rates are virtually the same found in studies in the staff what means that the allergic risk and is present in all health facilities.

So it is important to give the necessary attention to the allergic risk identification, risk factors (e.g. atopy $56.4 \%$ ) and their evaluation to implement strategies tailored support based on preventive measures and early detection of occupational allergy in analysis laboratories medical.

## References

[1]. A. Alaoui-Yazidi ${ }^{\text {a }}, \mathrm{M}$. Bartal $^{a}$, M.T. El Fassy Fihry ${ }^{\text {b }}$ , Ch. Laraqui ${ }^{\text {c }}$ *Auteur correspondant. BP 9118, Mers Sultan, Casablanca, Maroc. Profil des allergies respiratoires et dermatologiques chez le personnel de santé au Maroc Doi : 10.1016/S0335-7457(03)00206-5
[2]. Cécile SURLERAUX, conseiller en prévention -Médecin du travail :Dermatoses professionnelles en milieu hospitalier 14 Nov 2011
[3]. Céline Ravallec «travail et sécurité 09-07» établissement de soins, un risque allergique mal considéré
[4]. Derek R. SMITH 1 and Rui-Sheng WANG 11 Glutaraldehyde Exposure and its Occupational Impact in the Health Care Environment Department of Hazard Assessment, National Institute of Industrial Health, Kawasaki, Japan 3-10, January 2006
[5]. Dossier INRS- extrait du site www.inrs.fr Mise à jour :17/042009 Allergies en milieu professionnel
[6]. El Mahjoubi Sahare: L’allergie Au Latex Chez Le Personnel De Sante Du Chu Hassan li De Fes ; Thèse No 109/12
[7]. Fujita H, Sawada Y, Ogawa M, Endo Y. [Health hazards from exposure to ortho-phthalaldehyde, a disinfectant for endoscopes, and preventive measures for health care workers]. Sangyo Eiseigaku Zasshi. 2007 an;49 (1) :1-8.
[8]. Galobardes B, Quiliquini AM, Roux N, Taramarcaz P, Schira JC, Bernstein M, Morabia A, Hauser C. Influence of occupational exposure to latex on the prevalence of sensitization and allergy to latex in a Swiss hospital. Copyright 2001 S. Karger AG, Basel
[9]. J.S. Bonny ${ }^{[1]}$, B.Y. Yéboué-Kouamé ${ }^{[1]}$, M.A. Pillah ${ }^{[1]}$, S.B. Wognin ${ }^{[1]}$, Y.M. Kouassi ${ }^{[1]}$, A.-F. Tchicaya ${ }^{[1]}$ L'intolérance aux gants de latex chez le personnel soignant des Centres hospitaliers universitaires d'Abidjan Doi : ADMP-09-2003-64-5-1250-3274-101019-ART4
[10]. Kujala VM, Reijula KE. Glove-induced dermal and respiratory symptoms among health care workers in one Finnish hospital 1995 Jul;28(1):89-98.Source :Department of Public Health Science and General Practice, University of Oulu, Finland.
[11].L Zilinskaite, ${ }^{1}$ D Tamasauskas, ${ }^{1}$ V Kvedariene, ${ }^{2}$ B Sitkauskiene ${ }^{1} \cdot{ }^{1}$ Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Medical Academy, Kaunas, Lithuania ${ }^{2}$ Vilnius University, Faculty of Medicine, Vilnius, Lithuania
[12]. Self-reported Drug Allergy in Health Care Workers in Conservative and Surgical Medicine Departments
[13]. L. Kanerva, T. Estlander, H. Keskinen, R. Jolanki, Section of Occupational Dermatology, Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Topeliuksenkatu ,Finland: Occupational allergic airbone contact dermatitis and delayed bronchial asthma from epoxy resin revealed by bronchial provocation test European Journal of Dermatology. Volume 10, Number 6, 475-7, September 20
[14].M. N. CRÉPY Consultation de pathologie professionnelle, Hôpital Cochin, Paris, et Hôpital Raymond Poincaré, Garches. Dermatoses professionnelles au caoutchouc TA 75 pour le Médecin du Travail N ${ }^{\circ} 109$ 1er trimestre 2007
[15]. N. ROSENBERG Allergie respiratoire professionnelle au latex Documents pour le médecin du travail $N^{\circ} 804 e$ trimestre 1999 INRS
[16]. N. Rosenberg , Hôpital Fernand Widal , Paris et ACMS ,Paris Allergies respiratoires professionnelles chez le personnel de santé INRS / Décembre 2012

