ISSN: 2321-3124

Available at: http://ijmcr.com

# Parasite fauna associated with the Nile Tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* L. (Cichlidae) from fish farms in Southern Benin (West Africa)

Mireille HOUENOU SEDOGBO<sup>1,2</sup>, Ablavi ABOKI-ONZO<sup>1</sup>, Ibrahim IMOROU TOKO<sup>2</sup>, Edoux Joel Eric SIKO<sup>1</sup>, Tadjida Bienvenu ZANNOU<sup>1</sup>, Nounagnon Darius TOSSAVI<sup>1</sup>, Emile FIOGBE<sup>3</sup>, Moudachirou IBIKOUNLE<sup>1\*</sup>

Received 01 July 2019, Accepted 02 Sept 2019, Available online 04 Sept 2019, Vol.7 (Sept/Oct 2019 issue)

#### Abstract

Aquaculture appears nowadays as the best strategy for filling the gap between people's demand for animal protein and current captures of wild fishes. Achieving this goal requires the breeding of suitable fishes, especially Oreochromis niloticus whose consumption is very common in Benin. Whereas success of this fish species production required convenient conditions for the growth of the fishes without parasite trouble. Parasites of O. niloticus were investigated in three fish farms located in Southern Benin, from August to December 2014. A total of 525 specimens of O. niloticus were examined for the occurrence of external and internal parasites. Fish were monthly sampling during this period and water from these farms were also sampled for physico-chemical analyses. A total of 18 parasites taxa were recorded including only one protozoan (coccidia) and four metazoan parasites namely myxosporea, monogenea, cestodea and acanthocephala. There was no significant difference between the prevalence of coccidian and myxosporea (P >0.05). No significant differences were observed either between prevalence according to males and females or among fish size groups for parasite prevalence. Whereas influence of season on fish parasitism was not evident, analyses of the physicochemical parameters revealed significant differences only for conductivity on L1 and BOD5 at L3.

Keywords : Aquaculture, metazoan parasites, prevalence, protozoan parasites.

# 1. Introduction

Since many decades, fish has become the solutions for most overcoming protein shortage problem all over the world, due to its importance for nutritional balance and in human health. However, because of its low production of inland water fish, Benin depends on imported fish as a low cost protein source to supply the needs of its population (Anon, 2011). FAO (2012) reported that 134 million tons of fish were used for human consumption out of a global production estimated at 154 million tons in 2011. Moreover, only 60% of the world halieutic production in 2012 came from the wild production (FAO, 2014). This is the consequence of the decrease of the halieutic productivity of natural sources owing to populations raising pressures and changes in the environmental conditions. Aquaculture appears to be necessary to increase fish production worldwide (Tidwell & Allan, 2001). Tilapia is the common name for nearly hundred species of Cichlid fish from the Tilapiine tribe. Tilapia represented mainly freshwater fish inhabiting shallow streams, ponds, rivers and lakes, and less commonly in brackish water (De Silva et al., 2004). However, global tilapia production is dominated by three species in the genus Oreochromis namely: the mossambic tilapia Oreochromis mossambicus, the blue tilapia Oreochromis aureus and the Nile tilapia or Nile mouthbreeder Oreochromis niloticus which is considered as one of the best candidate for tilapia culture (FAO, 2012).

Froese & Pauly (2015) reported that *O. niloticus* reaches its sexual maturity at 3-6 months depending on temperature, when the fish weighed about 30 g, and reproduction occurs only when temperatures are above 20 °C with several yearly spawnings (every 30 days) and Grammer *et al.* (2012) assured its multi-year survival and establishment. *O. niloticus* has become a well-suited species for aquaculture for its wide range of trophic and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Parasitologie et Ecologie Parasitaire, Département de Zoologie, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, 01BP 526 Cotonou, Bénin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Recherche en Aquaculture et Eco-toxicologie Aquatique, Département des Sciences et Techniques de Productions Animale et Halieutique, Faculté d'Agronomie, Université de Parakou, BP 123 Parakou, Bénin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Laboratoire de Recherche sur les Zones Humides, Département de Zoologie, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, 01BP 526 Cotonou, Bénin.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's ORCID ID: 0000-0000-0000 DOI: https://doi.org/10.14741/ijmcr/v.7.5.4

ecological adaptations, and its adaptive life history characteristics that enable it to occupy many different tropical and sub-tropical freshwater niches. These meaningful features allowed *O. niloticus* to be widely introduced for aquaculture and augmentation of capture fisheries (Welcomme, 1988). In Benin as well as in many developed countries, *O. niloticus* has become one of the country's commercially most valuable fishes. Indeed, one of the social impact of tilapia aquaculture is the increase in household incomes from small farms and eateries associated with farms (CABI, 2015), thereby reducing poverty (Tallec & Kebe, 2006).

Parasites are an essential part of each aquatic community (Klimpel et al., 2003). Therefore, they represent one of the numerous natural factors or anthropogenic factors that often reduce the abundance of fish populations (Sindermann, 1987) though some methods are engieneering. In wild populations it is difficult to isolate and quantify the effects of any single factor, such as predation, or starvation, or disease, on fish stock size (Sindermann, 1987; Kabata, 1995; Harris et al., 2000). But Goselle et al. (2008) asserted that parasitism was much more common and diversified in the wild fish populations than in the farms or ponds and hatcheries. However, the onset of disease conditions due to parasites has become a major constraint in aquaculture (Caruso, 2009; Akoll et al., 2012). The reason is that when stress occurs, resistance of fish is often lowered and some parasites may greatly increase in abundance thereby affecting fish health. When it happens, affected fish become weak and more susceptible to predation, followed by subsequent increase of transmission of the parasite (Moore, 2002). Furthermore, fish parasites spoil the appearance of fish, thus resulting in consumer rejection (Gulelat et al., 2013); consequently, despite the large fish population, the economic benefits remain marginal owing to the prevailing parasitic diseases and others. Ectoparasites such as protozoa, monogenea and digenetic flukes are the most dangerous groups affecting skin and gills and that induce Limness of the flesh, irritation, destruction of gills, anorexia and impaired breathing (Guo & Woo, 2009) while nematodes and cestodes may have detrimental effects on internal tissues and growth of fish (McClell &, 2002). The synergistic action of parasites causes severe fish mortalities (Sakiti et al., 1991; Gbankoto et al., 2003, Costello, 2009; Sanil et al., 2011; Tossavi et al., 2015).

Various parasites are associated with Tilapia species in the wild and cultured environments where they cause morbidity, mortality, and economic losses to aquaculture in various parts of the world (Subashinghe, 1995). Unfortunately, whereas many studies were performed on parasites of *O. niloticus* in many countries as noticed by Olurin & Samorin, 2006; Awharitowa & Ehigiator, 2012 and Olurin *et al.*, 2012, there is a severe paucity of data on the parasites of this fish species in the Republic of Benin. Hence the present study was initiated to improve our knowledge on the identity and infestation level of parasites and to evaluate the relationship between the infection by protozoan and metazoan parasites and the sex or size of *O. niloticus*. The possible influence of the physicochemical parameters on the prevalence of parasites also was tested in order implementation adequate biosecurity measures.

# 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Sampling localities, animals collection and identification of parasites

Specimens of *O. niloticus* were collected from August to December 2014 in the southern region of Benin for parasitological research. The study area is located in a subequatorial climate region characterized by alternating dry and wet seasons with a long dry season (LDS) running from December to March, a long wet season (LWS) from April to July, a short dry season (SDS) from August to September, and a short wet season (SWS) running from October to November. The three farms sampled were IA Benin at Ouidah, Podji Les-Monts at Abomey-Calavi and Sogo at Sèmè-Kpodji representing the sampling localities (L) L1, L2 and L3 respectively, as presented on Figure 1.

Fish samplings were done once a month together with the measurements of the water quality parameters. Water samples were taken upstream and downstream in each of sites and the mean values were used. The water quality variables that were measured included some physical variables like temperature, conductivity and turbidity; chemical variables such as pH, Potentiel Redox, Dissolved Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>), Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>) and Nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub>), whereas the biological variables were Total Dissolved Substance (TDS) and Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD).

Monthly, 35 fishes were purchased per site and examined. In total, 525 fishes were collected. All the fishes collected were carried alive, in plastic containers with air conditioned to the laboratory at the University of Abomey-Calavi where they were processed. The body weight (g) and the standard length (mm) were measured for each fish and their sex was determined by observing the external genitalia or the gonads after dissection. Fishes were then inspected at the naked followed with an observation under the low-power stereomicroscope whereas the gut and other visceral organs were removed and examined in order to search for protozoan and metazoan parasites. The sorted metazoan parasites were inspected as fresh material under the low-power stereomicroscope. In order to get more detailed information on their morphological structure, they were examined under a phase-contrast light microscope or mounted in 4% buffered formalin or in ethanol (70 %) as long as necessary for further investigations.

#### 2.2. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of data was performed on the groups of parasites within the community. In each fish farm,

Parasite fauna associated with the Nile Tilapia Oreochromis niloticus L. ...

prevalence was calculated as the ratio of the number of individuals containing at least one parasite to the total number of fishes examined. These data were compared among sampling sites, fish size, between sexes and among sampling months using a chi-square test and the Z-test whereas correlation between number of parasites and water parameters were tested with Spearman test. A one-factor analysis of variance was used to test the significance of the variation of physicochemical parameters of water among fish farms. All analyses were performed using the statistical program SPPS version 20 and results were considered significant at the 95% level (P < 0.05). The percentages of the same column followed by different letters differ significantly.

# 3. Results

A total of 525 specimens of *O. niloticus* were examined for the presence of parasites. Mean total weight and standard length described for each specimen were presented in Table 1. The parasite fauna associated with the fishes comprised of 18 taxa including protozoon (1 Coccidian taxa) and metazoa (10 monogenean taxa, 5 Myxosporean please taxa, 1 taxa of each of Cestode and Acanthocephala). Of the *O. niloticus* specimens examined, a total of 170 individuals were infested, which corresponded to a global prevalence rate of 32.38% (Table 1).

 Table 1. Geographic coordinates of the fish farms (localities: L), mean size and mean total weight of the hosts and total prevalence according to locality

| Locality                | Geographic Coordinates          | Mean total<br>size (cm) | Mean total<br>weigth (g) | Infected<br>Fish/Examined fish | Prevalence by locality (%) | Total<br>prevalence (%) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| IA Benin (L1)           | N06°22'37.4''E002°05'4<br>6.3'' | 19.048 ±<br>1.73        | 78 .2 ± 26.59            | 80/175                         | 45.71                      |                         |
| Podji-les monts<br>(L2) | N06°34'12.9''E002°22'1<br>1.8'' | 12.989 ±<br>1.39        | 75.15 ± 23.40            | 73/175                         | 41.71                      | 38.98                   |
| Djèrègbé (LP3)          | N06°25'00.3''E002°38'3<br>5.9'' | 13.448 ±<br>1.56        | 84.56 ± 24.60            | 17/175                         | 9.71                       |                         |

Prevalence by sampling Locality (L) varied between 9.71 and 45.71% whereas prevalence by sex groups was 31.54% for male versus 38.98% for female O. niloticus (Table 2). Prevalence of monogenea differed significantly among sampling points (L). The highest infestation (42.85%) occurred at L1 while the lowest (7.42%) was recorded at L3 (Table 3). Prevalence of Cestoda and Acanthocephala varied significantly among L and none of these two groups were encountered at L1 and L3 (Table 3). As for Coccidia and Myxosporea, their prevalence did not differ among the three sampling localities (Table 3). Irrespective of the sex of the parasitized O. niloticus, prevalence was low for all the parasite groups, except for Monogenean where the infestation rate was 22.03% (n = 13) in females and 23.77% (n = 112) in males. Prevalence of Coccidia and Acanthocephala were 1.69% (n = 1) in females and 1.93% (n = 9) in males for each group. No females of O. niloticus were infested by Myxosporea whereas the prevalence of this group in male O. niloticus was 1.07% (n = 5). For Cestode the prevalence was 3.38%(n = 2) in females and 3.43% (n = 16) in males. No significant differences were observed between males and females with regard to the prevalence of parasites (df = 1; P = 0.072) according to the chi-square test. Fish individuals with size ranged from 80 to 180 mm were more infested by any of the parasite groups, except that individuals of 80 to 130 mm in size were not infested by Acanthocephala. Monogenean and Cestode exhibited the

highest infestation rates. Irrespective of the parasite group, there were no significant differences in their prevalence among the three size classes of *O. niloticus* (Table 4).

During the short dry season (August to September), only Monogenea were collected while prevalence of Coccidia, Myxosporea, Cestode and Acanthocephala tended to nil. In the short wet season (October to November), only Cestode and Acanthocephala were not recorded in October whereas Myxosporea were not recorded in November. At the beginning of the long dry season (December), coccidia, myxosporea, monogenea, cestode and acanthocephala were encountered. The most prevalent was monogenea whereas it did not differ significantly among sampling month, except with prevalence observed in August (Table 5). Infestations of fishes by Coccidia, Cestode and Acanthocephala varied significantly among sampling months and were the highest in November. Prevalence of Myxosporea did not differ significantly among sampling months (Table 5).

The coefficients and probabilities of the Spearman correlation between the number of parasites and the physicochemical parameters of the three fish farms (Table 6), shows that the correlation was significant and positive only between the number of parasites and the conductivity (r = 0.90, P = 0.03) at L1 and between the number of parasites and the BOD<sub>5</sub> at L3 (r = 0.90, p = 0.03).

| Localities        | Males             |              | Females  |              |  |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|--|
|                   | Examined          | Infested     | Examined | Infested     |  |
| L1                | L1 165            |              | 10       | 8 (80 %)     |  |
| L2                | 138               | 62 (44.92 %) | 37       | 11 (29.72 %) |  |
| L3 163            |                   | 13 (20.63 %) | 12       | 4 (33.3 %)   |  |
| Prevalence by sex | 147/466 = 31.54 % |              | 23/59 =  | 38.98 %      |  |

Table 2. Prevalence according to the host' sex from each locality (L)

**Table 3.** Prevalence (%) of the groups of parasites according to the locality showing the statistical difference. Prevalenceare followed by various letters in the same column indicating the significant difference (P < 0.05). P = P-value deliveredby Z-test.

| Localities Coccidia |                       | Myxosporea | Myxosporea Monogenean |       | Acanthocephala |  |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|-------|----------------|--|
| L1                  | <b>L1</b> 1.14a 1.14a |            | 42.85a                |       | 0a             |  |
| L2                  | L2 5.71a              |            | 26.85b                | 9.71b | 4b             |  |
| L3                  | L3 1.14a 1.14         |            | 1a 7.42c Oa           |       | 0a             |  |
| Р                   | P 0.068806 0.891811   |            | 0.0000007 0.000044    |       | 0.017180       |  |

L: locality and P: probability

**Table 4.** Total prevalence (%) of the taxonomic groups of parasites according to the size of fish. Prevalence are followed by various letters in the same column indicating the significant difference (P < 0.05). P = P-value delivered by Z-test.

| Size (cm) | Coccidia | Myxosporea | Monogenean | Cestode  | Acanthocephala |
|-----------|----------|------------|------------|----------|----------------|
| [08 – 13[ | 1.64a    | 1.64a      | 22.95a     | 4.92a    | 0a             |
| [13 – 18[ | 2.2a     | 0.82a      | 26.92a     | 3.3a     | 2.2a           |
| [18 – 23[ | 1a       | 1a         | 21a        | 3a       | 1a             |
| Р         | 0.796438 | 0.849251   | 0.601611   | 0.743549 | 0.317831       |

**Table 5.** Prevalence of the groups of parasites according monthly sampling. Prevalence are followed by various letters in the same column indicating the significant difference (P < 0.05). P = P-value obtained by Z-test.

| Months    | Months Coccidia |          | Monogenean | Cestode  | Acanthocephala |  |
|-----------|-----------------|----------|------------|----------|----------------|--|
| August    | 0a              | 0a       | 8.57a      | 0a       | 0a             |  |
| September | 0a              | 0a       | 30.47b     | 0.95ab   | 0.95a          |  |
| October   | 1.9ab           | 2.86a    | 23.8b      | 0a       | 0a             |  |
| November  | 5.71b           | 0a       | 28.57b     | 11.43bc  | 6.66b          |  |
| December  | 1.9ab           | 1.9a     | 31.43b     | 4.76b    | 0.95a          |  |
| Р         | 0.020152        | 0.103317 | 0.000742   | 0.000008 | 0.000891       |  |

**Table 6.** Coefficients (C) and probabilities (P) of the Spearman correlation between the percent infestation and the water physicochemical parameters. Values in bold are those which have statistical significance

| Locality |    | рН   | Conductivi<br>ty | Turbidit<br>Y | Dissolv<br>edOxyg<br>en | Potentiel<br>redox | TDS  | Nitrate | Nitrit<br>e | BOD₅  |       |
|----------|----|------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------|---------|-------------|-------|-------|
|          | 11 | С    | -0.80            | 0.90*         | -0.50                   | -0.70              | 0.35 | 0.00    | 0.80        | 0.30  | 0.71  |
|          |    | Ρ    | 0.10             | 0.03*         | 0.39                    | 0.18               | 0.55 | 1.00    | 0.10        | 0.62  | 0.17  |
| L2       | 12 | С    | -0.20            | -0.05         | -0.30                   | -0.40              | 0.20 | 0.50    | 0.20        | -0.66 | 0.79  |
|          | 12 | Ρ    | 0.74             | 0.93          | 0.62                    | 0.50               | 0.74 | 0.39    | 0.74        | 0.21  | 0.11  |
| L3       |    | С    | -0.30            | -0.30         | 0.60                    | 0.15               | 0.30 | 0.30    | -0.30       | 0.20  | 0.90* |
|          | Ρ  | 0.62 | 0.62             | 0.28          | 0.80                    | 0.62               | 0.62 | 0.61    | 0.74        | 0.03* |       |

627 | Int. J. of Multidisciplinary and Current research, Vol.7 (Sept/Oct 2019)

# 4. Discussion

The present study is certainly the first in Benin that provides insight of the species composition of the different external and internal parasites that infested cultured tilapia and on how they were affected by water quality. Our results showed that in the three fish farms investigated in Southern-Benin, the O. niloticus sampled were infested by 18 parasite species, including coccidia, myxosporea, monogenean, cestode and acanthocephala. Based on the total number of parasites by host, O. niloticus had a relatively high parasitic load. Indeed, studies on wild O. niloticus from Burkina-Faso (Kabré, 1997) and southeastern Nigeria (Opara and Okon, 2002) indicated the occurrence of only 7 and 5 parasite species, respectively, despite their longer sampling period, whereas in cichlids from fish ponds in Syria. Al-Sammanet al., (2006) recorded only 2 species. Our results support, in contrast, the findings by Akoll et al. (2012), Eissa et al., (2011), Soliman & Ibrahim (2012) and Amare et al., (2014) who reported similar observations from different parts of Africa.

In Benin, no study had yet focused on this fish parasites. Indeed, this study results provide new insight for understanding parasites generally pledged to O. niloticus in Benin. The total prevalence observed was thereby forecasting on how devastating parasitic infections can be in fish farms, especially while considering the stressful conditions linked to crowding and frequent deterioration of water quality (Mitchel, 1989; Meyer, 1991; Bondad-Reantaso et al., 2005). This total prevalence was closed to the values recorded by Amare et al. (2014) in Ethiopia, and by Noor El-Deen et al. (2015) in Egypt, with 29% and 47% respectively. In contrast, our values are higher than the 6.94% recorded in Nigeria (Edema et al., 2008) and lower than the 89% obtained in Uganda (Akoll et al., 2012). Our values are also lower than the 83 to 100% recorded by Tossavi et al. (2014) for metazoan parasites regardless of the sex of the Clariid species and the 42% recorded from O. niloticus in lake Geriyo in Nigeria (Domo and Ester, 2015).

Our study did not reveal any difference between males and females with regards to their infestation by the parasites (P > 0.05). The uneven numbers of specimens examined from each sex may be an explanation for these results; however, literature on the relationship between sex and parasite prevalence is controversial (Olurin et al., 2012). This is in contradictions with the findings by Emere (2000), who reported differences in the incidence of infestation between male and female individuals. This author attributed such differences to differential feeding habit developed by the two sexes, either in the quantity or in the quality of food eaten, or as a result of different degrees of resistance or infection (Gbankoto et al., 2001;Opara and Okon, 2002; Tossavi et al., 2015), but how this applies in cultured conditions is still open to investigations.

Our data shows that parasitism rate varied with fish size. For fish which size was more than 18 cm in length, the prevalence ranged between 1% and 3% for cestode. myxosporea and acanthocephala. These data are in agreement with those reported by Tossavi et al. (2015), but are in contradictions to those of El-Mansy and Bashtar (2002), Abdel-Ghaffar et al. (2008) and Morsyet al. (2012), who reported that high parasitism in fish was associated with a decrease in their length and weight. It is interesting to notify that some parasite taxa were found only at one site, as was the case for fishes from L1 and L3 that never harbored Cestode and Acanthocephala, while others such as Nematode were not recorded at all. Whereas, there is no geographical proximity of sampling areas that could be reflected in the similarity of parasite species assemblage, one plausible explanation for this difference among fish farms with regards to the presence of parasites could be explained the fact that L1 and SP3 are experimental farms used for several studies and were, therefore, more strictly monitored than L2. As a matter of fact, L2 is the most infested station considering the number of parasite groups recorded here.

The significant difference observed between the prevalence of Monogenea from one locality to another could depend on the differences in physicochemical status of the water which may be more or less favorable to parasite proliferation in the sampling environment. Since Monogenea have a direct life cycle, their propagation is mostly assured some host specimens become heavily parasitized (Balling and Pfeiffer, 1997). Moreover, Caruso (2009) suggested that a high fish density associated with a low water renewal could result in frequent occurrence of epizootic diseases. Hussen et al. (2012) stated that the prevalence and the intensity of helminth parasites in freshwater could depend on either the biology of the species or the nature of the host and its feeding habits, physical factors and hygiene of the water body (Mitchel, 1989; Meyer, 1991; Bondad Reantaso et al., 2005). Finally, affected hosts can lose their feeding capacity, thereby causing delay of growth or loss of weight and possible direct mortality by starvation (Kennedy, 1974), thereby explaining, how parasitic infections can be devastating in fish farms.

As stated by Karvonen *et al.* (2013), prevalence of fish parasites is directly influenced by some environmental factors, including water temperature. Our data confirmed this statement as the prevalence of the different groups of parasites had significantly increased during the dry season with the highest prevalence in November when the highest temperature was also recorded. This result illustrates the need to consider the multi-stress context in which host-parasite interactions occur (Olias *et al.*, 2004, Sánchez *et al.*, 2015). Opara and Okon (2002) observed significant differences in the prevalence of monogenea between dry season and rainy season; thus confirming our findings herein where monogenean's prevalence reached 31.43% in November against 8.57% in the rainy season (August). The positively significant correlation between infestation rates and some physicochemical parameters of the water such as conductivity at L1, and  $BOD_5$  at L3, is in support to Ernst *et al.* (2005) and Khidr *et al.* (2012), who showed that the prevalence of fish parasites is, sometimes, correlated with some environmental factors depending on the season.

# Conclusion

This study is the first conducted in Benin on the parasite fauna associated with the commercially cultivated fish species *O. niloticus*. Our data showed a wide spectrum of parasites of *O. niloticus* throughout the study area. Infestation of fish by monogenea was very high. The wide distribution of parasites reflected the indiscriminate movement of fishes from hatcheries to grow-out systems. So, special attention should be given to sensitize fish farmers about the negative impact of parasitic infestation in aquatic ecosystem, so as to prompt them using some biosecurity measures to protect their cultured fishes.

#### References

[1]. Abdel-Ghaffar F, Abdel-Baki AS, Bayoumy E, Bashtar AR, Al-Quraishy S, Morsy KS, Alghamdy A, & Mehlhorn H. (2008). Light and electron microscopic study on *Henneguya suprabranchiae* Landsberg, 1987 (Myxozoa: Myxosporea) infecting *Oreochromis niloticus*, a new host record. *Parasitolology Research* 103, 609– 617. https://doi:10.1007/s00436-012-3011-x.

[2]. Akoll P, Konecny R, Mwanja WW, Nattabi JK, Agoe C, & Schiemer F. (2012). Parasite fauna of farmed Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) in Uganda. *Parasitolology Research* 110, 315-323. https://doi: 10.1007/s00436-011-2491-4.

[3]. Al-Samman A, Molnar K, & Székely C. (2006). Infection of cultured and freshwater fishes with monogeneans in Syria. *Bulletin of European Association of Fish Pathology.* 26, 170-173. https://hungary.pure.elsevier.com/hu/publications/

[4]. Amare A, Alemayehu A, & Aylate A. (2014). Prevalence of internal parasitic helminthes infected *Oreochromis niloticus* (Nile Tilapia), *Clarias gariepinus* (African Catfish) and *Cyprinus carpio* (Common Carp) in lake Lugo (Hayke), Northeast Ethiopia. *Journal of Aquaculture Research and Development* 5, 233. http://doi: 10.4172/2155-9546.1000233.

[5]. Awharitowa AO, & Ehigiator FAR. (2012). Helminth parasites of fishes from some rivers in Southern Nigeria. *African Scientist* 13, 65-69.

[6]. Balling ET, & Pfeiffer W. (1997). Frequency distributions of fish parasites in the perch *Perca fluviatilis* L. from the Lake Constance. *Parasitolology Research* 83, 370–373 https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s004360050264.

[7]. Bondad-Reantaso MG, Subasinghe, R.P., Arthur, J.R., Ogawa,K., Chinabut S, Adlard R, Tan Z, & Shariff M. (2005). Disease andhealth management in Asian aquaculture.*VeterinaryParasitology*132,249–272.

https://doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2005.07.005 97324495.

[8]. CABI. 2015. *Oreochromis niloticus* (Nile tilapia) [original text by K. Fitzsimmons; reviewed by T. Zengeya]. CAB International, Wallingford, UK. Available: http://www.cabi.org/isc/ datasheet/72086 (July 2015).

[9]. Caruso D. (2009). La pathologie en pisciculture tropicale et l'approche écopathologique : méthodologie et étude de cas sur

les élevages de Pangasiidae. *Cahier Agriciculture* 18: 242-248. http://revues.cirad.fr/index.php/cahiers-

agricultures/article/viewFile/30795/30555

[10]. Costello MJ. (2009). The global economic cost of sea lice to salmonid farming industry. *Journal of Fish Disease* 32, 115–118. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2761.2008.01011.x.

[11]. Da Silva SS, Subashinghe, R.P., Bartley, D.M., & Lowther, A. (2004). Tilapias as alien aquatics in Asia and the Pacific: A review. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper, 453(2). www.fao.org/3/a-y5728e.

[12]. Domo GA. & Ester, S.T. (2015). Prevalence of the helminth parasites of *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Clarias gariepinus* in lake geriyoji metayola, Adamawa state. *Journal of Novel Applied Sciences* 4, 1-6.

[13]. Edema CU, Okaka CE, Oboh IP, & Okogub BO. (2008). A preliminary study of parasitic infections of some fishes from Okhuo River, Benin City, Nigeria. *International Journal of Biomedical and Health Sciences* 4(3), 107-112. https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org.ddf9880ad07847bca3138aa6b c21e479b6a1.pdf

[14]. Eissa IAM, Mona SZ, Noor ED, Ibrahin AZ, & Osman KAH. (2011). Field study on cadmium pollution in relation to internal parasitic diseases in cultured Nile tilapia at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. *Journal of American Science* 7, 650-660.

[15]. El-Mansy A, & Bashtar AR. (2002). Histopathological and ultrastructural studies of *Henneguya suprabranchiae* Landsberg, 1987 (Myxosporea: Myxobolidae) parasitizing the suprabranchial organ. *Parasitology Research* 88, 617–626. https://doi 10.1007/s00436-002-0598-3.

[16]. Emere MC. (2000). Parasitic infection of the Nile perch (*Lates niloticus*) in river Kaduna, Nigeria. *Journal of Aquatic Science* 4, 51-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ jas.v15i1.19988

[17]. Ernst II, Whttingtton D, Corneillte S, & Talbot C. (2005). Effects of temperature, salinity, desiccation and chemical treatments on egg embryonation and hatching success of *Benedenia seriolae* (Monogenea: Capsalidae), a parasite of farmed *Seriola* spp. *Journal of Fish Diseases* 28, 157–164. https://doi:10.1111/j.1365-2761.2004.00605.x.

[18]. FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization. (2012). La situation mondiale des pêches et de l'aquaculture 2012, Rome, 241p.

[19]. FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization. (2014). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture: *Opportunities and Challenges*, Rome 243p.

[20]. Froese R, & Pauly D. 2015. *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758). FishBase. Available: http://www.fishbase.org/summary/2. (July 2015).

[21]. Gbankoto A, Pampoulie C, Marques A, & Sakiti GN. (2001). *Myxobolus dahomeyensis* infection in ovaries of tilapia species from Bénin (West Africa). *Journal of Fish Biology* 58, 883–886. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2001.tb00539.x.

[22]. Gbankoto A, Pampoulie C, Marques A, Sakiti GN, & Dramane KL. (2003). Infection patterns of *Myxobolus heterospora* in two tilapia species (Teleostei: Cichlidae) and its potential effects. *Disease of Aquatic Organisms* 55, 125-131. http://doi: 10.3354/dao055125.

[23]. Goselle ON, Shir GI, Udeh EO, Abelau M, & Imandeh GN. (2008). Helminth parasites of *Clarias gariepinus* and *Tilapia zillii* at Lamingo dam, Jos, Nigeria. *Science World Journal* 3, 23-28.

[24]. Grammer GL, Lack WT, Peterson MS, & Dugo MA. (2012). Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* Linnaeus, 1758 establishment in temperate Mississippi, USA: multi-year survival confirmed by otolith ages. *Aquatic Invasions* 7, 367-376. http://dx.doi.org/10.3391/ ai.2012.7.3.008 [25]. Gulelat Y, Eshetu Y, Asmare K, & Bekele J. (2013). Study on parasitic helminths infecting three fish species from Koka Reservoir, Ethiopia. SINET: *Ethiopian Journal of Science* 36, 73-80.

[26]. Guo FC, & Woo PTK. (2009). Selected parasitosis in cultured and wild fish. *Veterinary Parasitology* 163, 207-216. https://doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2009.06.016.

[27]. Harris PD, Soleng A, & Bakke TA. (2000). Increased susceptibility of salmonids to the monogenean *Gyrodactylus salaries* following administration of hydrocortisone acetate. *Parasitology* 120, 57–64. https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/ E5AFB7F47A 5919BA 3EA0468868943D95.

[28]. Hussen A, Tefera M, & Asrate S. (2012). Gastrointestinal helminth parasites of *Clarias gariepinus* (Catfish) in lake Hawassa, Ethiopia. *Scientific Journal of Animal Science* 1(4), 131-136.

[29]. Kabré GB. (1997). Parasites des poissons du Burkina Faso: faunistique, ultrastructure, biologie. Thèse de doctorat de l'Université de Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. 165p.

[30]. Kabata Z. (1995). Parasite and diseases of fish cultured in the tropics. *Applied Journal of Hydrobiology* 9, 45-67.

[31]. Karvonen A, Kristjánsson BK, Skúlason S, Lanki M, Rellstab C, & Jokela J. (2013). Water temperature, not fish morph, determines parasite infections of sympatric Icelandic three spine sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). *Ecology and Evolution* 3, 1507-1517. https://doi:10.1002/ece3.568.

[32]. Kennedy CR. (1974). A checklist of British and Irish freshwater fish parasites with notes on their distribution. *Journal of fish Biology* 6, 613-644. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1974.tb05104.x

[33]. Khidr AA, Said AE, Samak OAA, & Sheref SEA. (2012). The impacts of ecological factors on prevalence, mean intensity and seasonal changes of the monogenean gill parasite, *Microcotyloides* sp., infesting the *Terapon puta* fish inhabiting coastal region of Mediterranean Sea at Damietta region. *Journal of Basic and Applied Zoology* 65, 109–115. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jobaz.2012.06.003.

[34]. Klimpel A, Seehagen A, & Palm HW. (2003). Metazoan parasites and feeding behavior of four small-sized fish species from the central North Sea. *Parasitology Research* 91, 290–297. https://doi: 10.1007/s00436-003-0957-8.

[35]. McClelland G. (2002). The trouble with sealworms (*Pseudoterra novadecipiens* species complex, Nematoda): a review. *Parasitology* 124, 183–203. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182002001658.

[36]. Meyer FP. (1991) Aquaculture disease and health management. *Journal of Animal Sciences* 69(10), 4201–4208. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1778836.

[37]. Mitchel C. (1989). Pathology of tilapias. *Aquatic Living Resources* 2, 117–126. https://doi.org/10.1051/alr:1989014.

[38]. Moore J. (2002). Parasites and the Behavior of Animals. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

[39]. Morsy K, Abdel-Ghaffar F, Bashtar A-R, Mehlhorn H, Al Quraishy S, & Abdel-Gaber R. (2012). Morphology and small subunit ribosomal DNA sequence of *Henneguya suprabranchiae* (Myxozoa), a parasite of the catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (Clariidae) from the River Nile, Egypt. *Parasitology Research* 111, 1423– 1435. https://doi:10.1007/s00436-012-2976-9

[40]. Noor El- Deen Al, Abd El Hady OK, Kenawy AM, & Mona SZ. (2015). Study of prevailing external parasitic diseases in cultured freshwater tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* Egypt. *Life Science Journal* 12, 30-37. http://lifesciencesite.com

[41]. Olías M, Nieto JM, Sarmiento AM, Cerón JC, & Cánovas CR. (2004). Seasonal water quality variations in a river affected by acid mine drainage: The Odiel river (southwest Spain). *Science Total Environnement* 333, 267– 281. https://doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2004.05.012.

[42]. Olurin K, Okafor J, Alade A, & Oronaye O. (2012). Helminth parasites of *Sarotherodon galilaeus* and *Tilapia zilli* from river Oshun, Southwest Nigeria. *International Journal of Aquaculture Sciences* 3, 49-55.

[43]. Olurin KB, & Samorin CA. (2006). Intestinal helminths of the fishes of Owa stream, South-west Nigeria. *Journal of Fisheries and Hydrobiology* 1, 6-9.

[44]. Opara KN, & Okon AO. (2002). Studies on the parasites of cultured *Oreochromis niloticus* (Cichlidae) in a rainforest fish pond in south eastern Nigeria. *Journal of Aquatic Sciences* 17, 17-20. http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jas.v17i1.19904.

[45]. Sakiti NG, Marques A, Blanc E, & Bouix G. (1991). Myxosporidies (Myxozoa, Myxosporea) du genre *Myxobolus* Butschli, 1882 parasites de poissons Cichlidae du lac Nokoué au Bénin (Afrique de l'Ouest). *Revue de Zoologie Africaine* 105, 173–186.

[46]. Sánchez MI, Pons I, Martínez-Haro M, Taggart MA, Lenormand T, & Green AJ. (2016). When parasites are good for health: cestode parasitism increases resistance to arsenic in Brine Shrimps. *PLoS Pathogy* 12(3):e1005459.doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005459.

[47]. Sanil NK, Azokan PK, John L, & Vijayan KK. (2011). Pathological manifestations of the acanthocephalan parasite *Tenui proboscis* spin the mangrove red snapper (*Lutjanus argentimaculatus* Forsskål, 1775), a candidate species for aquaculture from Southern India. *Aquaculture* 310, 259–266. https://doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.10.027.

[48]. Sindermann CJ. (1987). Effects of parasites on fish populations: practical considerations. *International Journal for Parasitology* 17, 371-382. https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7519(87)90112-3.

[49]. Soliman MFM, & Ibrahim MM. (2012). Monogenean community structure of *Oreochromis niloticus* in relation to heavy metal pollution and host reproductive cycle. *Journal of Egyptian* Society of Parasitology 42, 11-24. http://www.academia.edu/6184408/.

[50]. Subashinghe R. (1995). Diseases control and health Management in aquaculture. *FAO Aquaculture NewLetter* 9, 8-11.

[51]. Tallec F, & Kébé M. (2006). Evaluation de la Contribution du Secteur des Pêches à l'Economie Nationale en Afrique de l'Ouest et du Centre. Rome - Italie: FAO and DFID.

[52]. Tidwell JH, & Allan GL. (2001). Ecological and economic impacts and contributions of fish farming and capture fisheries. In Fish as food: aquaculture's contribution. *Embo Reports* 2, 958–963. https://doi:10.1093/embo-reports/kve236.

[53]. Tossavi ND, Gbankoto A, Adité A, Ibikounlé M, Grunau C, & Sakiti GN. (2014). Metazoan parasite communities of catfishes (Teleostei: Siluridae) in Benin (West Africa). *Parasitology Research* 113, 3973–3983. https://doi10.1007/s00436-014-4063-x.

[54]. Tossavi ND, Gbankoto A, Yessoufou A, Escande M-L, Dimitri G, Ribou A-C, Moutaïrou, K, & Sakiti GN. (2015). Histopathological and ultrastructural studies of a *Henneguya* species (Myxozoa: Myxosporea) infesting the intestine of *Clarias* gariepinus from Benin (West Africa). *Parasitolology Research* 114, 861-872. https://doi 10.1007/s00436-014-4249-2.

[55]. Welcomme RL. (1988). International introductions of inland aquatic species. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 294, Rome.